Proceedings of the 2nd International

CONFERENCE ON PRESSURE SURGES

London England

1976





Editors: H.S. Stephens,
A.L. King,
Christine A. Stapleton

The Organisers are not responsible for statements or opinions made in the papers or in the discussion recorded in these proceedings.

When citing papers from these proceedings, the following bibliographic details should be used:

Title, Author(s), Paper No., Pages, Proc. 2nd International Symposium on Pressure Surges (London: Sep. 22-24, 1976). BHRA Fluid Engineering, Cranfield, U.K. (1977).



British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

International Conference on Pressure Surges, 2nd, London, 1976
Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Pressure Surges.

1. Hydrodynamics - Congresses 2. Pressure - Congresses
I. Stephens, Herbert Simon II. King, Alan Leslie
III. Stapleton, Christine A IV. British Hydromechanics Research Association. Fluid Engineering 532'.56

QC150

ISBN 0-900983-65-5

Printed and Published by:

BHRA Fluid Engineering, Cranfield, Bedford MK43 OAJ, England

©BHRA Fluid Engineering 1977

ISBN 0 900983 65 5

2nd INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON PRESSURE SURGES

The 2nd International Conference on Pressure Surges was held at the City University, London, on September 22nd-24th, 1976 and was organised and sponsored by BHRA Fluid Engineering and the City University. This volume contains the 29 papers presented at the Conference, together with a record of the oral discussion, subject index, authors and contributors index and delegates list.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The invaluable assistance of the Organising Committee and Referees in the successful organisation of this Conference is gratefully acknowledged.

ORGANISING COMMITTEE

Dr. K.J. Enever (Chairman)

Mr. A.L. King (Secretary)

Mr. J.A. Fox

Mr. A. Lupton

Mr. H. Stephens

Dr. J. Swaffield

Mr. A.R.D. Thorley

The City University, London

BHRA Fluid Engineering

University of Leeds

Binnie & Partners

BHRA Fluid Engineering

Brunel University

The City University, London

SECOND INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON PRESSURE SURGES

CONTENTS

OPENING AT by Hugh R.	DDRESS Lupton, Vice-President, BHRA Fluid	Engineering.	viii
SESSION A:	PIPE NETWORK SIMULATION		
Chairman:	K.J. Enever, The City University, London, U.K.		
A1	Propagation of transient pressure waves in a sodium-cooled fast reactor.	A.R.D. Thorley and J.W.R. Twyman, The City University, London, U.K.	A1-1
A2	The use of impedance concepts and digital modelling techniques in the simulation of pipeline transients.	J.B. Codrington and R.G. Witherell, Acres Consulting Services Ltd., Canada.	A2-15
A3	On the simplification of water hammer calculations,	J.P. Donovan and P.G. Thomasson, Cranfield Institute of Technology, U.K.	A3-45
Discussion o	of papers in Session A.		X1
SESSION B:	HYDROELECTRIC SCHEMES		
Chairman:	H. Headland, Kennedy and Donkin, U.K.		
B1	Waterhammer analysis in hydro- electric pumped storage install- ations.	A.P. Boldy, Sunderland Polytechnic, U.K.	B1-1
B2	Some novel experiences in the computer aided design of Dinorwic Pumped Storage surge system.	A. Anderson, Merz & McLellan, U.K. and J.F. Robbie, University of Aberdeen, U.K.	B2-15
B3	Dinorwic Pumped Storage Project - pressure surge investigations.	W.T. Mawer, Central Electricity Generating Board, U.K., and R.W. Buchanan, James Williamson & Partners, U.K. and B.B. Queen, Merz and McLellan, U.K.	B3-43
		and meneral, 0.1%	

SESSION C:	TWO-PHASE FLOW AND SEPARATI	ON (1)	
Oliali Illali.	Technical University of Hannover, Go	erman Federal Republic.	
C1	Pressure wave propagation in two-phase bubbly air-water mixture.	C.S. Martin and M. Padmanabhan, Georgia Institute of Technology, U.S.A. and D.C. Wiggert, Michigan State University, U.S.A.	C1-1
C2	Two-phase flow hydraulic transient model for storm sewer systems.	C.C.S.Song, St. Anthony Falls Hydraulic Laboratory, University of Minnesota, U.S.A.	C2-17
C3	Waterhammer analysis with air release.	J.P. Tullis, Colorado State University, U.S.A. and V.L. Streeter, and E.B. Wylie, University of Michigan, U.S.A.	C3-35
Discussion o	f papers in Session C.	- Will acuper 15 900	X19
SESSION D:	TWO-PHASE FLOW AND SEPARATI	ON (2)	
Chairman:	G.A.J. Young, BHRA Fluid Engineering, U.K.		
D1	Variation of free gas content in water during pressure fluctuations.	A. Keller, Technical University of Munich, and W. Zielke, Technical University of Hannover German Federal Republic.	
D2	Investigation into cavitation in a prototype pipeline caused by water hammer.	G.A. Provoost, Delft Hydraulics Laboratory, The Netherlands.	D2-13
D3	Pressure pulse and critical flow behaviour in distributed gas- liquid systems.	D.R.H. Beattie, Australian Atomic Energy Commission, Australia.	D3-31
Discussion o	f papers in Session D.	the state of the s	X31
SESSION E: Chairman:	TWO-PHASE FLOW AND SEPARATION C.S. Martin, Georgia Institute of Technology, U.S.		
El	Analysis of the safety relief valve discharge transients of a boiling water reactor.	H.H. Safwat, Bechtel Power Corporation, U.S.A.	E1-1
E2	On the interpretation of pressure surges in liquids caused by vapour explosions.	G.J. Vaughan, UKAEA Research Group, U.K.	E2-19
E3	An experimental analysis of liquid depressurisation with mass transfer.	V. Ilic and J. Marshall, Australian Atomic Energy Commission, Australia.	E3-35
Discussion of	f papers in Session E.		X40

SESSION F:	TWO-PHASE FLOW AND SEPARAT	ION (4)	
Chairman:	J.P. Tullis, Colorado State University, U.S.A.		
F1	An alternative approach to the problem of column separation in an elevated section of pipeline.	N.J. Marsden, G.H.Hill & Sons, U.K. and J.A. Fox, University of Leeds, U.K.	F1-1
F2	Entrapped air in pipelines.	C.S. Martin, Georgia Institute of Technology, U.S.A.	F2-15
F3	Pressure surge due to oil column separation in pipelines.	K. Yamaguchi, Toyota Technical College, Japan, and T. Ichikawa, Shizuoka Universi Japan.	F3-29 ity,
Discussion o	f papers in Session F.	*	X45
SESSION G:	COOLING WATER SYSTEMS		
Chairman:	C. Jolas, Electricite de France, France.		
G1	Air release in the transient analysis of condensers.	C.N. Papadakis and D.F. Hollingshead, Bechtel Inc., U.S.A.	G1-1
G2	Transients in low pressure pumping systems with column separation: a simulation program.	B. De Bernardinis and F. Siccardi, University of Genoa, Italy.	G2-15
Discussion o	f papers in Session G.		X60
SESSION H:	MATHEMATICAL TECHNIQUES (1)		
Chairman:	H. Brekke, Kvaerner Brug A/S, Norway.		
Н1	Analysis of pressure-transients using compressible flow theory.	D.J.F. Ewing, Central Electricity Generating Board, U.K.	H1-1
H2	On the use of the method of characteristics for the solution of unsteady flows in networks.	A.E. Vardy, Cambridge University, U.K.	H2-15
Н3	Theoretical and experimental analysis of the error in the numerical solution of the equations of mass oscillation in pumped storage schemes.	J.B.B. Bullough, British Petroleum Co.Ltd., U.K. and J.F. Robbie, University of Aberdeen, U.K.	H3-31
Discussion of	f papers in Session H.		X65

SESSION J:	MATHEMATICAL TECHNIQUES (2)		
Chairman:	H.R. Graze, University of Melbourne, Australia.		
J1	Effects of fluid pressures on the vibration characteristics of cylindrical vessels.	A.A. Lakis, Ecole Polytechnique, Montreal, Canada.	J1-1
J2	A study of the influence of turbine characteristics on turbine governing.	H. Brekke, Kvaerner Brug A/S, Norway.	J2-17
J3	Development and propagation of underpressure fronts influenced by buckling of the pipe wall.	I. Larsen, VBB-SWECO Ltd, Sweden.	J3-43
Discussion o	f papers in Session J.		X72
CECCION V	SIIDAE AANTAN AND SIIDDESSIA	ONT.	
SESSION K:	SURGE CONTROL AND SUPPRESSIO		
Chairman:	J.B. Scivier,		
	Binnie and Partners, U.K.		
K1	Analysis of water curtains in transient gas flows in ducts.	C.A. Woodhead and J.A. Fox, University of Leeds, U.K. and A.E. Vardy, Cambridge	K1-1
		University, U.K.	
K2	Analysis of field measurements of air chamber installations.	H.R. Graze, University of Melbourne, Australia, J. Schubert, Zweckverband Bodensee - Wasserversorgung, German Federal Republic, and J.A. Forrest, State Electricity Commission of Victoria, Australia.	K2-19
К3	The dependence of pressure wave transmission through surge tanks on the valve closure time.	H.H. Bernhart, University of Karlsruhe, German Federal Republic.	K3-37
	omino on the vario elegate time.	1.004.0110	
Discussion of	f papers in Session K.	The second of the second	X82
CLOSING AD	DRESS C.S. Martin, Georgia Institute of Tec	chnology, U.S.A.	X92
Subject Index			X94
Authors & Co	ontributors Index		X98
Delegates Li	st		X99

OPENING ADDRESS

Hugh R. Lupton, OBE, MC, MA, CEng. Vice-President, BHRA Fluid Engineering.

Mr. Stephens, Ladies and Gentlemen:-

My first, very pleasant, duty is to welcome you to this Conference, especially those of you who come from overseas - and I congratulate the Organisers on their success doubtless largely the result of the success of the Canterbury meeting four years ago, in attracting such a numerous and distinguished attendance.

Having been honoured on that occasion by BHRA in being invited to open the Conference, it occurred to me to wonder what can be the value, to those in the forefront of the Art concerned, of an opening address by an ancient like myself.

Because, probably, more than half of the world's scientists since Tubal-Cain are now living, the increase in the rate of growth of Knowledge - I don't say of Wisdom - and of the instruments of its increase - its d^2/dt^2 - is nowadays so explosively great, that the stage of knowledge attained at the period of my main activities must have been, I suppose, about half-way back to that of Pithecanthropos, the Ape-man, in his forest - or perhaps that of Anthropopithecus, his predecessor. Still, not all the hard-learned 'know-how' of Pithecanthropos is obsolete, and not all the ideas of so-called Homo Sapiens so very clever.

And, of course, as compared with Pithecanthropos, in whose day the increase of know-ledge in one lifetime was negligible, I have the great advantage of access to recent advances - e.g. these papers.

BHRA, who have organised this gathering, designate it a Conference. The word implies that participators bring something. They might have called it a Symposium - literally a Drinking Party - at which friends meet and ideas flow freely. They might, again, have called it a Seminar, at which Seed - ideas and experiences - is broadcast on receptive ground, which, however, demands cultivation, including, in most cases (certainly in my own) the study of what is commonly called the Literature. What they could not properly call it is a Conclave - a secret meeting which would inhibit the broadcast of seed - now, happily, mainly a thing of the past. And this time it is a Panoramic conference, embracing many aspects, from the elasticity of Arteries to the behaviour of Bubbly Mixtures. So, please, Spread Knowledge and Experience; Meet and make Friends; Do any necessary Homework, and sow the Seed - and the Conference will have the success it deserves.

But there is one component of most fluid-manipulating installations which could benefit from further research which, however, does not seem to find a place in any of the Papers, yet which probably causes more than half the objectionable waterhammer to which Man is heir. I refer to the ordinary humble Non-return Valve in which the motion of the obturator (the blanking agent, usually a rigid plate) is derived from the fluid itself. In such valves the mean velocity of the fluid is backward at the instant when the valve shuts. Over part of the surface of the obturator the component of the fluid velocity perpendicular to that surface is suddenly killed, giving rise to pressure-surges, and over most of the rest separation usually occurs. The disturbances are

quickly damped down provided the liquid column between the valve and, say, an air-cushion, or a reasonably large pipe-manifold - which column must be traversed by the remedial pressure-waves - is short. The shock may be tempered by elasticity of the obturator or of its seating, or, in a pump circuit, by increasing the MI of the rotating parts. In some designs of valve the obturator is loaded so as to decrease the closing time, but possibly at the cost of increased head-loss.

Now the obturators of reciprocating-pump valves, which have, of course, to operate repeatedly, with minimum displacement back-flow and shock, minimum leakage and minimum head-loss, are usually light and their travel small, the flow-passages carefully streamlined and, often, obturators and seatings slightly elastic. But the preponderance of ordinary check-valves are of flap or disc type, which often close with considerable shock, such as, with better design following informed research, could be greatly reduced.

But let the prope-Pithecanthropoid prattler sit down, and enjoy with you the Conference which it is now my priviledge to declare open.

Hugh R Kapton

CLOSING ADDRESS

by

Professor C.S. Martin,

Georgia Institute of Technology, U.S.A.

As evident by the written contributions and the attendance at this Second International Conference on Pressure Surges there remains an intense interest in the area of pressure transients. The design of systems of more complexity and the stipulation of more stringent modes of operation requires analyses of greater sophistication than previously. The concern for public safety has introduced new transient problems, either postulated or real, in the field of nuclear energy. Changing technology probably holds even more challenging transient problems for this body of engineers and researchers.

Of the 29 papers presented at this conference, nearly one-half (14) were on some aspect of two-phase flow, compared to eight of 37 at the First International Conference on Pressure Surges four years ago. Clearly, transient two-phase flow problems are with us for at least the near future. The phenomenon of vapour explosion and the attendant pressure wave propagation is definitely in a primitive stage of understanding. Although the purpose of studying the loss-of-coolant-accident problem (LOCA) is not because of pressure surges, the phenomenon clearly is one for which the tools of pressure transient analysis are applicable.

Column separation and the release of dissolved gases is still not completely understood. It is refreshing, however, to witness fundamental efforts into the understanding of the effect of nuclei on gas bubble formation. It is obvious that the gas release problem will not be understood without considering the physical chemistry of the process. It is still not obvious, however, that column separation is a serious problem in cooling water systems. The inclusion of dissipative mechanisms in analytical models of column separation, as proposed by De Bernardinis and Siccardi, is a welcome innovation. It should be stressed that no-two-phase flow model be developed without some awareness of the various regime that may occur.

The paper by Vardy on an improved method of characteristics will hopefully allow for the development of interpolation techniques that are an improvement over the currently employed ones. Much can be learned from this method as well as from the techniques proposed by Song and by Safwat.

Worthwhile design information in the field of hydroelectric power was furnished by Anderson et al. and Mawer et al. The paper by Brekke on the effect of turbine characteristics on turbine governing is certainly outstanding. Compared to the normal representation of turbine machine characteristics, e.g., as proposed by Streeter and Wylie and by Marchal and Suter, the interpolation procedure proposed by Boldy is encouraging, especially near the region of zero gate.

The paper by Thorley and Twyman on the effect of plastic deformation on wave propagation and the one by Larsen on pipe buckling are original contributions to this area of pressure surge.

It was illuminating to listen to the opening address of Mr. Hugh Lupton, especially his comment about our lack of understanding of an age-old cause of pressure surge, the non-return valve. Frequently, we dismiss the effects of these valves without a clear view of their operation and influence. Perhaps the comments of Mr. Lupton will motivate renewed activity in this subject.

Papers F2, H1 and H3 stressed the application of incompressible or rigid-column theory to pressure surge problems. Everyone should recognise that there are numerous engineering situations for which the liquid need not be considered elastic. In fact, there should not be proponents of one school (say, incompressible) opposing those of the other school (compressible) inasmuch as the technique employed should depend upon the problem at hand.

The presentation of field data on air chamber performance by Graze et al. is worth-

while in that earlier laboratory experience has now been confirmed. The confirmation should lead to a better design of air vessels.

Although there were several papers on the subject of field measurement and prototype performance, future conferences would certainly be enhanced if there were more substantial input from users or operators. Designers can definitely improve their product if past deficiencies in design are pointed out. I would like to encourage the staff of BHRA to solicit a greater participation and response from individuals and institutions who can relate important field experiences.

Papers that are likely to prove to be significant in the future are:-

- 1. Variation of free gas content in water during pressure fluctuations by Keller and Zielke.
 - 2. The influence of turbine characteristics on turbine governing by Brekke.
 - 3. Field measurements of air chamber installations by Graze, Schubert and Forrest.
 - 4. Details on the use of the method of characteristics by Vardy.

Regarding the future one should anticipate an increased activity of transient phenomena associated with nuclear power plants, especially vapour explosions, plastic deformation, depressurization, and collapse of steam pockets. Attention should be given to the development of better constitutive equations for describing gas release. There is, of course, a dire need for measured field data for many transient phenomena. Although the analytical modelling is probably ahead of our understanding of the physics, especially our formulation of constitutive relationships, we still need refinements to numerical modelling, in particular numerical interpolation.

I would in closing like to thank the BHRA staff, especially Mr. Stephens, Mr. King and Mr. Hanson for their superb organisation. In my opinion, the 1976 Conference is just as much a success as its predecessor in 1972.

C.S. Martin Professor

CS Martin

SUBJECT INDEX

AIR CHAMBERS		COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN	
Pumping Installations, in		See also: COMPUTER PROGI	RAMS
analysis	K2-19	Hydroelectric Power Stations	
pressure measurement	K2-22, K2-25	simulation program	A2-20
temperature measurement	K2-22, K2-25	Pumped Storage Scheme	
theoretical studies	K2-24	emergency gates	B2-15
volume measurement	K2-22, K2-25	Water Supply Systems	
		simulation program	A2-18
AIR DUCTS			
Pressure Transient Generation		COMPUTER PROGRAMS	
water curtain effects	K1-1	See also: COMPUTER AIDED	DESIGN
		Global	J1-9
AIR POCKETS		Method of Characteristics	F1-1, A2-15
Pipelines, in		Network Simulation	A2-15, A3-53
effects	F2-15	Reversible Pump Turbines	
		four quadrant character-	
AIR RELEASE		istics	B1-5
Pipelines, in	F2-15	Two-Phase Flow	
Surge Analysis	C3-35	storm sewer systems	C2-17
condensers, in	G1-1	Water Hammer Calculations	-
		Simplification	A3-52
BUBBLE FLOW	C1-1, C3-35	simulation languages	A3-53
Gas Content	,		
contamination of fluid	D1-6	CONDENSERS	
gas saturation	D1-5	Surge Analysis	
optical measuring techniques	D1-1	air release	G1-1
Reynolds number effects	D1-5	column separation	G1-1
Mathematical Models	D2-16	cooling water systems	G1-1
		mathematical models	G1-1
CAVITATION			
Pump Discharge Lines		COOLING SYSTEMS	
bubble flow - mathematical	D0 10	Liquid Metal Cooled Fast Bre	eder Reactor
models	D2-13	wave propagation	A1-1
COLUMN SEPARATION			
Air Release	C3-35	COOLING WATER SYSTEMS	
Condensers, in	G1-1	Condensers	~ .
Mathematical Models	C3-40, C3-41,	surge analysis	G1-1
manematical models	F1-1, D2-13	Nucleo-Thermo Electric Pow	
Oilhydraulic Systems, in	F3-29	column separation	G2-15
experimental studies	F3-33	arramara i imagnia	
theoretical studies	F3-31	CYLINDRICAL VESSELS	4-
Pipes, in	F1-1, D2-13	Vibration - Internal Flow Effe	
Pumping Systems, in	11 1, 12 10	computer programs	J1-9
simulation program	G2-15	equations of motion	J1-3
Wave Speed Influence	C3-35	inertia, coriolis, centri-	T1 4
pou manuo		fugal forces	J1-4
COMPRESSIBLE FLOW THEORY		internal flow pressure	J1-7
Comparisons		fluctuations	J1-4
incompressible flow theory,		Sanders' equations	0.1_4
with	H1-1	DEPRESSURISATION	
		Freon 12 Liquid	

blowdown mechanism	E3-37	IMPEDANCE METHOD OF HYDRAULIC
detached bubble region	E3-35, E3-38	TRANSIENTS
experimental studies	E3-36	Comparisons
homogeneous phase region	E3-35, E3-38	Method of Characteristics,
superheated liquid region	E3-35, E3-38	with A2-31
vapour phase region	E3-35, E3-38	
Part April 1994		INCOMPRESSIBLE FLOW THEORY
DINORWIC PUMPED STORAGE		Pipe Network Analysis
SCHEME	B2-15, B3-43	compressible flow theory,
Computer Aided Design	B2-15	comparisons with H1-9
Operational Requirements	D0 50	general network solution H1-6
air bubble removal conduit velocities	B3-50 B3-47	heat exchanger resistance & inertia H1-5
	B3-45	& inertia H1-5 method of character-
hydraulic system layout pressure parts design	B3-43	istics H1-1
significant pressure	D9-92	series/parallel system
transients	B3-47	equations H1-3
station operational role	B3-44	series system analysis H1-9
surge shaft studies	B3-47	unbalancing effects H1-8
water hammer analysis	B3-49	undataneing effects 111-0
water nammer analysis	D0 40	LAX-WENDROFF METHOD
GAS FLOW		Wave Propagation
Ducts, in		bubble flow C1-7
water curtain effects	K1-1	Substitution CI 1
water cartain enects	***	MASS OSCILLATIONS
GAS-LIQUID FLOW		Computer Programs A3-45
Elastic Pipes, in		Numerical Solution Error
wave speed	C3-38	efficiency H3-41
Gas Content Measurement		frictionless/undamped
measured nuclei histograms	D1-3	equations H3-34
measurement techniques/		hydraulically damped
equipment	D1-3	equations H3-38
piping circuit	D1-2	linearly damped equa-
void fraction time change	D1-4	tions H3-37
		stable integration con-
GERALD ANDRUS STEAM ELECTE	RIC	ditions H3-39
STATION		Pumped Storage Schemes B2-15, H3-31
Cooling Water Systems		emergency gate closure B2-24
pump failure tests	G1-7	
		MATHEMATICAL MODELS
HYDROELECTRIC POWER STATIO	NS	Cavitation - Pump Discharge
Computer Aided Design		Lines
computer programs	A2-21	bubble flow model D2-16
pipeline characteristics	A2-20	concentrated cavitation
relief valves	A2-20	model D2-18
surge shafts	A2-20	laboratory tests, com-
turbine characteristics	A2-20	parisons with D2-19
Dinorwic Pumped Storage		prototype pipeline
Scheme	B2-15, B3-43	experimental studies D2-19
Pump Turbines		separated flow model D2-17
computer programs	B1-5	Column Separation F1-1, G2-15
four quadrant characteristic	s B1-3, B1-4	Column Separation, Air
Water Conveyance System		Release with
surge tanks	K3-37	characteristics grid
		model C3-40

discrete bubble model	C3-41	OIL HYDRAULIC SYSTEMS	
Condensers		Column Separation	T0 00
surge analysis	G1-1	laminar flow	F3-29
Storm Sewer Systems		OLETEAL I C (MADINE)	
closed conduit/open channel		OUTFALLS (MARINE)	
flows	C2-17	Pipe Wall Buckling	
Two-Phase Flow	D0 01	under-pressure wave	TO 40 TO 40
gas-liquid	D3-31	propagation	J3-43, J3-48
METHOD OF CHARACTERISTICS		PIPES	
Air Flow	E1-1, K1-1	See also: OILHYDRAULIC	SYSTEMS
Comparisons		Bubble Flow	
Impedance Method, with	A2-31	valve closure	C1-1
Incompressible Flow Theory	H1-1	wave propagation	C1-1
Pipes		Buckling	
column separation	F1-1	under-pressure wave	
Steam Flow	E1-1	propagation	J3-43
Surge Analysis	E1-1, A3-45	Elastic	
cooling water systems	G1-1	liquid-gas mixtures -	
Two-Phase Flow	C1-5, C2-17	wave speed	C3-38
Unsteady Flow	H2-15	Elevated Section	
boundary conditions	H2-21	column separation	F1-1
continuity/momentum equa-		Entrapped Air Effects	
tions	H2-18	air release system	
fixed grid method	H2-19	pressurisation	F2-22
spatially varied grid series	H2-20	confined system	
Water Hammer Calculations	G1-1	pressurisation	F2-19
simplification of	A3-45	open system	
Water Slug Flow	E1-1	pressurisation	F2-23
		theoretical studies	F2-22
MINES		Hydroelectric Power Station	ns
See: AIR DUCTS		surge simulation	A2-15
		Networks	
NUCLEAR REACTORS		Method of Character-	
See also: VAPOUR EXPLOSION	S	istics	H1-1, H2-15
Freon - 12 Depressurisation	E3-35	unsteady flow analysis	H2-15
Safety Relief Valves		Nuclear Reactors	
discharge transient analysis	E1-1	dynamic loads	E1-1
Sodium Cooled		Pump Discharge Lines	
wave propagation	A1-1	cavitation	D2-13
Water Cooled	D3-31	Vibration Characteristics	J1-1
NUMERICAL METHODS		PUMPED STORAGE SYSTEMS	
See also specific methods: e.g.		Computer Programs	B1-5
Method of Characteristics		Dinorwic Pumped Storage	D1-0
Comparisons	H3-31	Scheme Scheme	B2-15, B3-43
Cooling Water Systems	пэ-эт	operational require-	D2-10, D0-40
simulation program	G2-20	ments	B3-43
Mass Oscillation Calculation	A3-45, H3-31	Emergency Gates	19-49
Water Hammer Calculations -	710-10, 110-01	computer aided design	B2-15
Simplification	A3-45	Mass Oscillations	D4-10
computer programs	A3-45	equations	H3-31
differential boundary condi-	110 00		110 01
tions	A3-49	Villingen (G.F.R.) Pumped	
empirical boundary conditions		Storage Scheme	IZO 01
multiple pipe boundaries	A3-48	air chambers	K2-21
Pro prpo soundarion	ILU TU		

PUMPS		VALVES	
Low Pressure Systems		Air Release	F2-15, F2-22
column separation	G2-15	Boiling Water Reactors	
Pump Failure		Safety relief valves	E1-1
cavitation	D2-13	Check	A2-18
		Closure	C1-1, J3-43
SIPHONS	G1-1	surge tanks, in	K3-27
v		Relief	A2-20
STORM SEWER SYSTEMS			
Two-Phase Flow		VAPOUR EXPLOSIONS	
closed conduit/open channel		Experimental Studies	
flows	C2-17	dropping	E2-22, E2-23
mathematical models	C2-19	injecting	E2-22, E2-25
		shock tubes	E2-22, E2-25
SURGE TANKS		Sources of	E2-22
Design	H3-33	Theoretical Studies	E2-22
Hydroelectric Power Station	K3-37	I HOOL OVIOUS DANGEOU	
Model Tests Valve Closure	K3-37	VIBRATION	
closure time/function	K3-40	Cylindrical Vessels	
methods of surge calculation		surge effects	J1-1
model tests	K3-40	Sarge circous	01 1
surge measurements	K3-41, K3-45	VILLINGEN (G.F.R.) PUMPED	STORAGE
Restricted - Orifice Tanks	K3-43	SCHEME	K2-21
Simple Tanks	K3-41	OHIME	112 21
brupic Tanks	110 11	WATER CURTAINS	
TUNNELS		Analysis	
See: AIR DUCTS		experimental apparatus	K1-9
Sec. Alt Deelb		gas flow in ducts	K1-1
TURBINES		quasi - steady solution	K1-6
Pumped Storage Systems	B1-1	steady - state drag	K1-8
Stability Analysis	DIT	unsteady solution	K1-7
Francis turbines	J2-24, J2-26	ansteady solution	
harmonic response tests	J2-26	WAVE PROPAGATION	
Kaplan bulb turbines	J2-27	Nuclear Reactors - Sodium	
Pelton turbines	J2-24	Cooled	
theoretical studies	J2-19	elastic deformation	
turbine characteristic effects		analysis	A1-5, A1-7
		plastic deformation	112 10, 112 11
TWO-PHASE FLOW		analysis	A1-4, A1-5
Gas-Liquid	C1-1, D2-13,	Two-Phase Flow	111 1, 111 0
Cas Lagard	D3-31	air-water mixture	C1-1
one-dimensional model	C1-3	Under Pressure Waves	
valve closure	C1-1	flexible pipe buckling	J3-43
Mathematical Models		riomate pipe additing	
storm sewer systems	C2-17	WAVE SPEED	
*	D2-13	Liquid-Gas Mixtures	
Water-Cooled Nuclear Reactors		elastic pipes, in	C3-38
Theoretical Studies			
	D3-40	WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMS	
T and a second	D3-36	Computer Aided Design	
1	D3-38		A2-18, A2-23
	D3-34		A2-19
THE PLANT LIGHT STRUCTURE			A2-19
UNDER PRESSURE WAVES		· ·	A2-18
See: WAVE PROPAGATION			A2-18, A2-23
×		pump/pipeline inter-	,
			A2-18, A2-23
			,

AUTHORS AND CONTRIBUTORS INDEX

Anderson, A.	B2-15, X11, X13	Marsden, N.J.	F1-1, X48, X50, X51, X52, X53, X55
Beattie, D.R.H.	D3-31, X25	Marshall, J.	E3-35
Bernhart, H.H.	K3-37		
Betamio d'Almeida, A.	X18, X26, X35, X75	Martin, C.S.	C1-1, F2-15, X7, X10,
Blackmore, W.E.	VAL VOO		X13, X23, X24, X25, X55,
Boldy, A.P.	B1-1, X10, X69		X56, X57, X58, X92
Brekke, H.	J2-17, X76	Mawer, W.T.	B3-43, X18
Buchanan, R.W.		McHamish, G.	X51
Buchanan, R. W.	B3-43, X15, X18,	Miller, D.	X51, X62
Dullough I D D	X88		
Bullough, J.B.B.	H3-31	Padmanabhan, M.	C1-1, X23, X24, X25, X37
O-deinsten I D	A 0 1 5 3 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Papadakis, C.N.	G1-1, X62, X63, X69
Codrington, J.B.	A2-15, X5, X6, X62,	Provoost, G.A.	D2-13, X36, X37, X48,
6 111 5 34	X77		X86
Collins, T.M.	X15, X36		
		Queen, B.B.	B3-43, X18
De Bernardinis, B.	G2-15, X63, X64		
Donovan, J.P.	A3-45, X7	Raested, C.	X51, X37
		Robbie, J.F.	B2-15, H3-31, X11, X13
Enever, K.J.	X28, X84	,	,
Ewing, D.J.F.	H1-1, X6, X15, X21,	Safwat, H.H.	E1-1, X7, X24, X42, X43,
	X43, X53, X56, X63,	,	X48, X55, X63, X80, X89
	X68	Sambiagio, G.	X67
		Schubert, J.	K2-19, X84, X86, X87
Forrest, J.A.	K2-19, X84, X86,	Sharma, G.N.	X36
	X87	Siccardi, F.	G2-15, X63, X64
Fox, J.A.	K1-1, X5, X10, X23,	Song, C.C.S.	C2-17, X26, X28
	X35, X44, X48, X50,	Streeter, V.L.	C3-35, X28, X29
	X51, X52, X53, X55,	Swaffield, J.A.	X24, X50, X56, X59, X87
	X83	Swaineiu, J.A.	A24, A00, A00, A00, A01
		Thomasson, P.G.	A3-45, X7
Graze, H.R.	K2-19, X30, X57,	Thorley, A.R.D.	
	X69, X84, X86, X87	Townson, J.M.	A1-1, X4, X5
		-	X11, X15
Hanif Chaudhry, M.	X27	Tullis, T.P.	C3-35, X28, X29, X35
Hollingshead, D.F.	G1-1, X62, X63	Twyman, J.W.R.	A1-1, X4, X5
		Vandy A E	110 15 V1 1 V5 V90
Ichikawa, T.	F3-29, X59	Vardy, A.E.	H2-15, K1-1, X5, X29,
llic, V.	E3-35, X39	Translation C. T.	X42, X53, X70, X83
	,	Vaughan, G.J.	E2-19, X43, X44
Jacobsen, S.	X81, X89	Winner D. C.	01 1 V20 V64 V00
Jolas, C.	X76, X42	Wiggert, D.C.	C1-1,X32,X64,X83
	,	Witherell, R.G.	A2-15, X5, X6
Keller, A.	D1-1, X35, X36	Woodhead, C.A.	K1-1, X83
,	21,1100,1100	Wylie, E.B.	C3-35, X29
Lakis, A.A.	J1-1, X75	1	70.00.7770
Larsen, L.	J3-43, X80, X81	Yamaguchi, K.	F3-29, X59
Longman, A.D.	X18, X89	4	
Lupton, A.R.R.	X70	Zielke, W.	D1-1, X35, X36
	2210		

DELEGATES LIST

Aga, J.	Nybro Hansen, SIV. Ing.	Norway
Anderson, A.	Merz & McLellan	U.K.
Anema, O.J.	Grontmij NV	Netherlands
imonia, ovo	,	110011011010
Badger, R.B.	Thames Water Authority	U.K.
Baldwin, N.	Thames Water Authority	U.K.
Barlow, D.	Kennedy & Donkin	U.K.
Bedgood, J.H.	Crouch & Hogg	U.K.
Bellis, F.	Atomic Power Constructions Ltd.	U.K.
Bernhart, H.H.	Karlsruhe University	German F.R.
Betamio D'Almeida, A.	Hidrotecnica Portuguesa	Portugal
Blackmore, W.E.	Halcrow, Sir William, & Ptrs.	U.K.
Boldy, A.P.	Sunderland Polytechnic	U.K.
Brekke, H.	Kvaerner Brug A/S	Norway
Broggi, L.	Worthington SPA	Italy
Brown, J.E.	British Industrial Plastics	U.K.
Buchanan, R.W.	Williamson, James, & Ptrs.	U.K.
Bullough, J.B.B.	British Petroleum Co. Ltd.	U.K.
Burnett, N.	Crouch & Hogg	U.K.
Cargill, J.D.	Boving & Co.	U.K.
Clarke, D.	Hydraulic Analysis	U.K.
Codrington, J.B.	Acres Consulting Services Ltd.	Canada
Collins, T.M.	Central Electricity Gen. Board	U.K.
Creasey, J.D.	Water Research Centre	U.K.
Davison, B.	Central Electricity Gen. Board	U.K.
Debernardinis, B.	Hydraulic Institute, Genoa	Italy
Debremelle, M.	C.E.N/Saclay	France
Dilnot, B.R.	Central Electricity Gen. Board	U.K.
Donovan, J.P.	Cranfield Institute of Technology	U.K.
Edmiston, G.	U.K.A.E.A.	U.K.
Enever, K.J.	City University	U.K.
Engeland, G.	Statoil	Norway
Ervine, A.	Babtie Shaw & Morton	U.K.
Etheridge, D.	I.M.E.G. Ltd.	U.K.
Ewing, D.J.F.	Central Electricity Gen. Board	U.K.
zwing, zwil	Constant Endovirons Gone Educa	0 4224
Fagerland, S.	Statoil	Norway
Fox, A.H.	Nuclear Power Co.	U.K.
Fox, J.A.	Leeds University	U.K.
Charl C	Mathew & Dlott	II V
Ghosh, S.	Mather & Platt	U.K. Switzerland
Giroud, P.	Motor-Columbus	
Glistrup, O.	Akvadan-Harvey A/S	Denmark France
Golfier, M.C. Gould, G.W.	O.T.P. Preece, Cardew & Rider	U.K.
	Melbourne University	Australia
Graze, H.R.	Melbourite Offiversity	Australia
Hart, T.B.	Kaiser Resources Ltd.	Canada
Headland, H.	Kennedy & Donkin	U.K.
Hebo Nielson, J.	Cowiconsult	Denmark
,		

Hesselberg, E. Hill, W.J. Hinz, H. Hochstatter, J. Hope, P.	Ostlands-Konsult Hydro Mechanical Supply Co. Motor-Columbus Inst. Fur Wasserkraftmaschinen BHRA Fluid Engineering	Norway U.S.A. Switzerland German F.R. U.K.
<pre>Ilhat, D.</pre> <pre>Ilic, V.</pre>	Electricite de France A.A.E.C. Research Establishment	France Australia
Jacobsen, O. Jacobsen, S. Jansson, L. Jolas, C.	Norway Tech. Univ. Motor-Columbus Linkoping University Electricite de France	Norway Switzerland Sweden France
Kendall, D.C. King, A.L. Kjeldgaard, J.H. Knuckey, A. Kongeter, J. Kristensen, P. Krupe, E.	I.C.I. Ltd. (Mond Div.) BHRA Fluid Engineering River & Harbour Laboratory Mather & Platt Ltd. Berlin Tech. Univ. Elsam Kraftvaerks Grontmij NV	U.K. U.K. Norway U.K. German F.R. Denmark Netherlands
Lakis, A.A. Larsen, J. Lasmoles, J. Longman, D. Lupton, A.R.R. Lupton, H.R.	Ecole Polytechnique Montreal VBB-Sweco Ltd. Bergeron S.A. Kennedy & Donkin Binnie & Partners Consultant	Canada Sweden France U.K. U.K. U.K.
Mansell, C.J. Marsden, N.J. Marsh, J. Martin, C.S. Martin, R. Mawer, W.T. McCabe, A. McHamish, G. McLeod, F. Miller, D.	Central Electricity Gen. Board Hill, G.H., & Sons Gullick Dobson Ltd. Georgia Inst. of Technology Halcrow, Sir William, & Ptrs. Central Electricity Gen. Board Nuclear Power Co. Boving & Co. Ltd. Weir Pumps Ltd. BHRA Fluid Engineering	U.K. U.K. U.S.A. U.K. U.K. U.K. U.K. U.K. U.K. U.K.
Nazzer, C. Neilson, A. Nolle, F.	Westinghouse Nuclear Europe U.K.A.E.A. Motor-Columbus	Belgium U.K. Switzerland
Oatway, A.T.	Press. Engr. & Management Services	U.K.
Papadakis, C.N. Papworth, M. Pattison, R.J. Pearson, A.R. Phillips, R.A. Planchard, J. Provoost, G.A.	Bechtel Inc. BHRA Fluid Engineering I.C.I. Ltd. (Agric. Div.) National Engineering Lab. Guillick Dobson Ltd. Electricite de France Delft Hydraulics Laboratory	U.S.A. U.K. U.K. U.K. U.K. France Netherlands
Queen, B.B.	Merz & McLellan	U.K.

Raestad, C.	Drammen City Technical Admin.	Norway
Rangefelt, C.	AB Asea-Atom	Sweden
Reed, A.C.	Atkins, W.S., & Ptrs.	U.K.
Robbie, J.F.	Aberdeen University	U.K.
Robson, F.M.	Binnie & Partners	U.K.
Ruyterman, C.	Neratoom BV	Netherlands
itayterman, o.		Tromotration
Safwat, H.H.	Bechtel Power Corp.	U.S.A.
Sambiagio, G.	Cagliari Univ.	Italy
Sandberg, M.	Swedish State Power Board	Sweden
Santi, A.	Hydroart S.P.A.	Italy
Sattler, H.	Montan AG	Austria
Schafer, J.	Kraftwerk Union AG	German F.R.
Schimmel, J.T.H.M.	Byron Jackson	Netherlands
Schubert, J.	Zweckverband B.W.V.	German F.R.
Scivier, J.B.	Binnie & Partners	U.K.
	Binnie & Partners Binnie & Partners	U.K.
Shah, H.R.M.		U.K.
Sharma, G.N.	Anglian Water Authority	
Siccardi, F.	Hydraulic Institute, Genoa	Italy
Song, C.C.S.	Minnesota University	U.S.A.
Sorli, K.	Kvaerner Brug A/S	Norway
Steedman, J.	Press. Engr. & Management Services	U.K.
Stephens, H.S.	BHRA Fluid Engineering	U.K.
Stevens, T.C.	Yorkshire Imperial Plastics Ltd.	U.K.
Svenson, H.J.	Brusletto R.Siv. Ing.	Norway
Swaffield, J.A.	Brunel University	U.K.
Thomasson, P.G.	Cranfield Institute of Technology	U.K.
Thorley, A.R.D.	City University	U.K.
Thornton, R.F.	Associated Octel Ltd.	U.K.
Townson, J.M.	Strathclyde University	U.K.
Tullis, J.P.	Colorado State Univ.	U.S.A.
Twyman, J.W.R.	City University	U.K.
Valibouse, B.	Alsthom	France
Van Dalen, G.	FDO-Engineering Consultants	Netherlands
Van Den Bogaard, J.	E.C.N.	Netherlands
Van Der Putten, A.P.	E.C.N.	Netherlands
Van Spronsen, G.	Koninklijke/Shell Laboratorium	Netherlands
Vardy, A.E.	Cambridge University	U.K.
Vaughan, G.J.	U.K.A.E.A.	U.K.
Walcher, M.	Kraftwerk Union AG	German F.R.
Watt, C.S.	Sunderland Polytechnic	U.K.
Wiggert, D.C.	Michigan State University	U.S.A.
		U.K.
Wilkinson, D.H.	Central Electricity Gen. Board	
Woodhead, C.A.	Leeds University	U.K.
Yallop, A.W.	Balfour, D., & Sons	U.K.
Yamaguchi, K.	Toyota Tech. College	Japan
Young, G.A.J.	BHRA Fluid Engineering	U.K.
Zielke, W.	Hannover Tech. Univ.	German F.R.
	IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Colinan I . Itt